

United States Patent and Trademark Office

N/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,432	12/30/2003	Charles R. Roe	10347/20018	7856	
7590 03/17/2005			EXAMINER		
Eugenia S. Hansen			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E		
Sidley Austin E Suite 3400	Brown & Wood LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
717 North Harv	vood St.	1614			
Dallas, TX 75201			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/748,4	132	ROE, CHARLES R.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	ır	Art Unit				
			Weddington	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMUNION OF THE PROPERTY	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evaluation. of ays, a reply within the statutory period will apply and vivill, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tim tuttory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>09 Ju</u> ne 2004.						
·	Pa) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3)□ Si	nce this application is in condition f	•		secution as to the	e merits is			
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	 4) Claim(s) 15-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-36 is/are rejected. 							
Application	Papers .							
9)□ Th	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Re	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[Th	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)	1							
	f References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) M Informat	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F o(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/748,432

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 15-36 are presented for examination.

Applicant's preliminary amendment filed June 9, 2004 and the information disclosure statement filed April 12, 2004 have been received and entered.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-36 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 25-40 of copending Application No. 10/371,685. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application teaches methods of accelerating the growth rate of a prematurely-born human infant by administering to said infant a composition comprising a seven carbon fatty acid or derivative thereof; and the copending application teaches a dietary formulation comprising a seven carbon fatty acid. Note in the specification of the copending application, 10/371,685, on page 26, under Example 2 and on page 27 shows the

Art Unit: 1614

administration of a seven-carbon fatty acid supplement (triheptanoin-supplemented low fat formula) to and infant with severe translocase deficiency. Clearly, one skilled in the art would have assumed the administration of a composition comprising a seven carbon fatty acid to accelerate the growth of a prematurely-born human infant is expected since a prematurely-born human infant primary have underdeveloped body system and severe translocase deficiency can result from this complication. Thus, copending application's invention dietary supplement containing a seven-carbon fatty acid embraces the present application's instant invention

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 15-36 are not allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 15-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for accelerating the growth rate of a prematurely-born human infant with triheptanoin, does not reasonably provide enablement for all seven carbon fatty acids (straight or branched chain of seven carbons with any substitution), 4-methylhexanoate, 4-methylhexenoate, 3-hydroxy-4-methylhexanoate, 5-methylhexenoate and 3-hydroxy-5-methylhexanoate. The

specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In this regard, the application disclosure and claims have been compared per factors indicated in the decision <u>In re Wands</u>, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir., 1988) as to undue experimentation.

The factors include:

- 1) the quantity of experimentation necessary
- 2) the amount of direction or guidance provided
- 3) the presence or absence of working examples
- 4) the nature of the invention
- 5) the state of the art
- 6) the relative skill of those in the art
- 7) the predictability of the art and
- 8) the breadth of the claims.

The instant specification fails to provide guidance that would allow the skilled artisan background sufficient to practice the instant invention without resorting to undue experimentation in view of further discussion below.

The nature of the invention, state of the prior art, relative skill of those in the art and the predictability of the art

Art Unit: 1614

The claimed invention relates to methods of accelerating the growth rate of a prematurely-born human infant by administering to said infant a composition comprising a seven carbon fatty acid or derivative thereof; and wherein the said infant rapidly obtains nutrition from odd carbon fatty acid β -oxidation metabolism, and wherein said composition is adapted for consumption in one or more dosage from about 15% to 40% of the dietary caloric requirement for said infant for 24 hours.

The relative skill of those in the art is generally that of a Ph.D. or M.D.

The present invention is unpredictable unless experimentation is shown for all seven-carbon fatty acids (straight or branched chain of seven carbons with any substitution), 4-methylhexanoate, 4-methylhexanoate, 3-hydroxy-4-methylhexanoate, 5-methylhexanoate and 3-hydroxy-5-methylhexanoate.

The breadth of the claims

The claims are very broad and inclusive to all seven carbon fatty acids or derivatives (straight or branched chain of seven carbons with any substitution).

The amount of direction or guidance provided and the presence or absence of working examples

The working examples are limited to an in vitro cell culture assay of cells derived from a deceased infant, a single cell culture derived from a fetus, and a single in vivo example treating an infant with triheptanoin-supplemented formula only.

The quantity of experimentation necessary

Applicant has failed to provide guidance as to how other seven carbon fatty acids, all seven-carbon fatty acids (straight or branched chain of seven carbons with any substitution), 4-methylhexanoate, 4-methylhexenoate, 3-hydroxy-4-methylhexanoate, 5-methylhexanoate and 3-hydroxy-5-methylhexanoate are used to accelerate the growth of prematurely-born human infants. The level of experimentation needed to determine the other seven carbon fatty acids and derivatives is undue. Therefore, undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as it is claimed in its current scope.

Claims 15-36 are not allowed.

The reference listed on the enclosed PTO-892 is cited to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00 am-7:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571)272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,432 Page 7

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin E. Weddington
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1614

K. Weddington March 11, 2005